

Rebuilding the Wave RTA


1. If you have followed the Wave RTA step-by-step Rebuilding Guide for the *vertical setup version*, on a scale from 1 (extremely difficult) to 7 (extremely easy), please rate how easy the rebuilding procedure is to you, always regarding the *vertical setup version*. The more you feel the rebuilding procedure is easy for you, the higher you would rate it. The less you feel the rebuilding procedure is easy for you would rate it. If you haven't followed the Wave RTA step-by-step Rebuilding Guide for the *vertical setup version*, please leave that rating box blank.

1-Not at all easy	2	3	4	5	6	7-Extremely easy
0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
In any case, what dic	l you have a har	d time with?				

2. If you have followed the Wave RTA step-by-step Rebuilding Guide for the *horizontal setup version*, on a scale from 1 (extremely difficult) to 7 (extremely easy), please rate how easy the rebuilding procedure is to you, always regarding the *horizontal setup version*. The more you feel the rebuilding procedure is easy for you, the higher you would rate it. The less you feel the rebuilding procedure is easy for you, the lower you would rate it. If you haven't followed the Wave RTA step-by-step Rebuilding Guide for the *horizontal setup version*, please leave that rating box blank.



3. If you have made your own custom setup *without* following the Wave RTA step-by-step Rebuilding Guide, on a scale from 1 (extremely difficult) to 7 (extremely easy), please rate how easy it has been to you to improvise using coiling and wicking alternatives of your choice. The more you feel the Wave RTA design has made things easy for you to improvise, the higher you would rate it. The less you feel the Wave RTA design has made things easy for you to improvise, the lower you would rate it. If you haven't improvised at all, please leave that rating box blank.





Accessing the atomising chamber of the Wave RTA

4. We have tried to design the Wave RTA so that there is easy and real time access to the atomising deck and chamber, even with a full tank and functioning setup. On a scale from 1 (extremely difficult) to 7 (extremely easy), please rate how easy it is for you, to access the atomising deck and chamber, even with a full tank and functioning setup. The more you feel it is easy for you, to access the atomising deck and chamber, even with a full tank and functioning setup, the higher you would rate it. The less you feel it is easy for you, to access the atomising setup, the lower you would rate it.

1-Not at all easy	2	3	4	5	6	7-Extremely easy
0	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	0	0	0

In any case, what did you have a hard time with? Did you experience any difficulty?



Using the Air Flow Control System (AFCS)

5. We have tried to design the Wave RTA so that it can feature a practical Air Flow Control System (AFCS) which allows instant and real time easy air flow control from the top of the device. On a scale from 1 (extremely difficult) to 7 (extremely easy), please rate how easy it is for you, to take advantage of the AFCS by using the AFCS rotating cap on top of the device. The more you feel it is easy for you to use this type of air flow control, the higher you would rate it. The less you feel it is easy for you to use this type of air flow control, the lower you would rate it.

1-Not at all easy	2	3	4	5	6	7-Extremely easy		
0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0		
In any case, what did you have a hard time with? Did you experience any problems?								



Using the Liquid Flow Control System (LFCS)

6. We have tried to design the Wave RTA so that it can feature a practical Liquid Flow Control System (LFCS) which allows instant and real time easy liquid flow control from the bottom of the device. On a scale from 1 (extremely difficult) to 7 (extremely easy), please rate how easy it is for you, to take advantage of the LFCS by using the AFCS rotating base ring on the base of the device. The more you feel it is easy for you to use this type of liquid flow control, the higher you would rate it. The less you feel it is easy for you to use this type of liquid flow control, the lower you would rate it.

1-Not at all easy	2	3	4	5	6	7-Extremely easy
0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0
In any again what dia		d time with? Did ve		arablama?		

In any case, what did you have a hard time with? Did you experience any problems?



Refilling the Wave RTA

7. If you have followed the Wave RTA Refilling Guide, on a scale from 1 (extremely difficult) to 7 (extremely easy), please rate how easy the refilling procedure is to you. The more you feel the refilling procedure is easy for you, the higher you would rate it. The less you feel the refilling procedure is easy for you, the lower you would rate it. If you haven't followed the Wave RTA Refilling Guide, please leave a comment about your alternative way of refilling.

1-Not at all easy	2	3	4	5	6	7-Extremely easy
0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0

In any case, what did you have a hard time with? If you have found an alternative way of refilling, what might that be?



Using the suggested rebuilding materials for the Wave RTA

8. If you have used the suggested rebuilding materials for the Wave RTA (free samples provided with the device), on a scale from 1 (not at all satisfied) to 7 (extremely satisfied), please rate how satisfied you are with the use of the suggested materials. The more satisfied you are, the higher you would rate it. The less satisfied you are, the lower you would rate it. If you haven't used the suggested rebuilding materials for the Wave RTA (free samples provided with the device), please leave that rating box blank and add your comments below.



In any case, what are your additional comments? If you have used other materials, what are they? Please analyse.



Coiling the Wave RTA

9. We have achieved optimal performance for the Wave RTA between 0.9 Ohm and 1.1 Ohm, with 28AWG and 30AWG res wire for both vertical and horizontal setup mode. What is the range of optimal performance for the Wave RTA according to your own tests?

Please make additional comments about your findings when coiling the Wave.

Ŧ



Vaping with the Wave RTA

10. After trying the Wave RTA with different setups (suggested or not), on a scale from 1 (not at all satisfied) to 7 (extremely satisfied), please rate how satisfied you are with the quantity of vapour it can produce. The more satisfied you are, the higher you would rate it. The less satisfied you are, the lower you would rate it. Also, please add any extra comments below.

1-Not at						7-
all satisfied	2	3	4	5	6	Extremely satisfied
0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
In any case, what a	re your additional	comments? Pleas	e analyse.			

11. After trying the Wave RTA with different setups (suggested or not), on a scale from 1 (not at all satisfied) to 7 (extremely satisfied), please rate how satisfied you are with the taste of vapour it can produce. The more satisfied you are with the taste, the higher you would rate it. The less satisfied you are with the taste, the lower you would rate it. Extra tip: Take seriously into account if the taste represents the liquid successfully. Also, please add any extra comments below.

1-Not at all						7-
satisfied	2	3	4	5	6	Extremely satisfied
0	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	0	0	0

In any case, what are your additional comments? Please analyse.

9

12. After trying the Wave RTA with different setups (suggested or not), on a scale from 1 (not at all satisfied) to 7 (extremely satisfied), please rate how satisfied you are with the hit of vapour it can produce. The more satisfied you are with the hit, the higher you would rate it as hard-hitting. The less satisfied you are with the taste, the lower you would rate it as hard-hitting. Also, please add any extra comments below.

1-Not at all satisfied - Definitely not hard-hitting	2	3	4	5	6	7- Extremely satisfied - Absolutely hard- hitting
0	0	\bigcirc	0	0	\bigcirc	0
In any case, what a	re your additional	comments? Pleas	e analyse.			



Aesthetics and Ergonomics of the Wave RTA

13. We have tried to design the Wave RTA according to Atmizone's simplistic philosophy and also the form-follows-function principle. On a scale from 1 (Not at all appealing) to 7 (Extremely appealing), please rate how appealing the design of the Wave RTA is to you, in terms of appearance. The more you feel its appearance is appealing to you, the higher you would rate it. The less you feel its appearance is appealing to you, the higher it.

1-Not at all appealing	2	3	4	5	6	7-Extremely appealing
0	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Please add any furth	er comments rela	atively.				

14. We have tried to design the Wave RTA according to Atmizone's simplistic philosophy and also the form-follows-function principle. On a scale from 1 (Not at all helpful) to 7 (Extremely helpful), please rate how helpful the design of the Wave RTA is to you, in terms of ergonomics. By this, we mean how helpful the function and positioning of the AFCS cap is, how helpful the function and positioning of the LFCS base ring is and how easily they can be accessed and controlled. The more you feel the ergonomics of the Wave are helpful and to you, the higher you would rate it. The less you feel the ergonomics of the Wave are helpful to you, the lower you would rate it.

1-Not at						7-
all helpful	2	3	4	5	6	Extremely helpful
0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Please add any furtl	her comments rel	atively.				

15. We have tried to design the Wave RTA according to Atmizone's simplistic philosophy and also the form-follows-function principle. On a scale from 1 (Not at all helpful) to 7 (Extremely helpful), please rate how helpful the design of the Wave RTA is to you, in terms of ergonomics. By this, we mean how helpful the function and positioning of the AFCS cap is, how helpful the function and positioning of the LFCS base ring is and how easily they can be accessed and controlled. Also, by this we mean how helpful the overall design of the Wave RTA is, so as to avoid the excess use of tools and to ensure easy, bare-hand procedures. The more you feel the ergonomics of the Wave are helpful and to you, the higher you would rate it. The less you feel the ergonomics of the Wave are helpful to you, the lower you would rate it.

1-Not at						7-
all helpful	2	3	4	5	6	Extremely helpful
\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0
Please add any fur	ther comments rel	atively.				



Rating the Wave RTA overall

16. If you were to judge if the Wave is an innovative RTA, on a scale from 1 (not at all innovative) to 7 (extremely innovative), please rate how innovative you think it is. By innovative, we mean bringing improvements to devices of the same kind, better solutions, meeting community needs in a better way. The more innovative you think it is, the higher you would rate it. The less innovative you think it is, the lower you would rate it.

1-Not at all innovative	2	3	4	5	6	7- Extremely innovative			
0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
In any case, what are your additional comments?									

17. If you were to rate the Wave RTA overall, on a scale from 1 (not at all satisfied) to 7 (extremely satisfied), please rate how satisfied you are with the device. The more satisfied you are, the higher you would rate it. The less satisfied you are, the lower you would rate it.

1-Not at						7-
all satisfied	2	3	4	5	6	Extremely satisfied
0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0

In any case, what are your additional comments?